Board of Commissioners Meeting Memorandum

**Date:** September 12, 2007

From: Ross Miller, Director of Accreditation

**Subject:** Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy

#### **Staff recommendation**

In accordance with Title 570 IAC (D) [Phase Four-Fully Accredited Status], it is the recommendation of the Commission staff that Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy be granted Fully Accredited status.

# **Background**

Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy was begun by Wendell Whitman, a Warsaw area businessman and natural health advocate. The school is located at 714 East Winona Avenue, Warsaw, Indiana. Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy was begun to train people interested in massage and natural therapies.

### **School Description**

Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy offers one certificate program and one diploma program. The Massage Therapy Certificate program consists of 728 clock hours over a 52 week period. The Massage Therapy Diploma program consists of 808 clock hours over a 52 week period. Currently nine students are enrolled in the diploma program, with no students enrolled in the certificate program. Tuition is currently set at \$7,215 for the Massage Therapy Diploma program and \$6,500 for the Massage Therapy Certificate program.

#### **Evaluation Team**

Ms. Emery DeRidder graduated from the Holistic Wellness Academy in 2004. For the past two years Ms. DeRidder has been a practicing Certified Massage Therapist. Ms. DeRidder is also an Advanced Sports Nutritional Advisor, and a Certified Personal Fitness Trainer

Ms. Frances D'Ottavio is Massage Therapist graduate of the Wellness Massage Training Institute, in Woodridge, Illinois. Ms. D'Ottavio has been working as a Massage Therapist since 2005 in Illinois, and more recently in Nappanee, Indiana.

Mr. Frank Sapper is the owner of Basic Kneads and Body Works, a business offering Massage Therapy in the Warsaw area. Mr. Sapper has obtained a Bachelors of Science in Physical Education/Health from Bowling Green University, and a Masters of Science in Physical Education from Nova Southeastern University.

### **Evaluation Results**

Ms. DeRidder recommended Charter College of Health and Natural Therapy for Fully Accredited status. All checklist categories were marked outstanding or superior. Ms. DeRidder commented that the staff was dedicated to the continued success of students; both current, and graduates of the program. Several written

comments were made concerning the well-appointed massage therapy rooms, and the overall facility. Ms. DeRidder also wrote that instructional materials were of high quality and content.

Ms. D'Ottavio recommended Fully Accredited status. All but one category was marked outstanding or superior. In the area of an adequate number of instructors, Ms. D'Ottavio marked satisfactory. She wrote that although the instructors were experienced, more instructors should be hired.

Ms. D'Ottavio wrote three suggestions for improvement. The first suggestion was to improve the profile of the school, through an open house, and more advertising. Secondly, Ms. D'Ottavio wrote that Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy should hire outside instructors to teach some of the basic classes. Lastly, Ms. D'Ottavio suggested that different modalities be offered by outside instructors. The modalities suggested to be offered on weekend, and in evening classes were Prenatal/Post-natal, Chair Massage, Geriatric Massage, and Sports Massage.

Mr. Sapper recommended Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy for Fully Accredited status. Categories were marked outstanding in all but one, marked superior. Ms. Sapper commented positively on the detailed admissions process. Written comments included his impression of the faculty, and students as having a positive outlook on the school. Mr. Sapper also commented that Mr. Whitman was professional and well-spoken.

#### Conclusion

The suggestions of Ms. D'Ottavio were not of significance to impede granting Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy Fully Accredited status. Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy currently has two full-time, two part-time, and two outside instructors for a student population of nine students. While increasing school advertisements and adding classes, are good suggestions, they do not rise to the level of recommendations. Commission staff concurs with all three evaluators in recommending Charter College of Health and Massage Therapy for Fully Accredited status.

#### **Supporting Documentation**

- 1. Ms. Emery DeRidder evaluator checklist
- 2. Ms. Frances D'Ottavio evaluator checklist
- 3. Mr. Frank Sapper evaluator checklist

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation:	JUNE 18, 2007		
Institution Evaluated:	CHARTER COLLEGE OF	HEALTH AND MASSAGE T	HERAPY
Name of Team Member:	MS EMERY DERIDDER		
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EV	ALUATORS		
In each category you are to ra	ate the institution on a scale	e of one (1) to four (4) as follo	ws:
<ol> <li>Outstand</li> <li>Superior</li> </ol>	ling	<ul><li>3. Satisfactory</li><li>4. Unsatisfactory</li></ul>	
There is space for comments. The asterisk (*) denotes <u>requested comments</u> in order to better explain your evaluation.			
CATEGORY I EDUCATION	NAL OBJECTIVES		
A. The educational philosoph	ies/objectives are consiste	ent with the institution's role as	a training facility.
YYY	g 2. Superior	0.001/-1-1-1	A Haratistantan d
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
B. The resident training is reaseeks.	asonably well developed to	actually train the student for t	he job he/she
YYY 1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
C. The advertising, brochures that it is a training ins	titution involved in the spec	entations made are truthful, ar cific areas of instruction it pron	
1. Outstandin	g YYY 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
CATEGORY II FACULTY			
A. The institution has an ade and/or experience to		nstructors or teachers trained	by education
YYY			
<ol> <li>Outstandin</li> </ol>	g 2. Superior	<ol><li>Satisfactory</li></ol>	<ol><li>Unsatisfactory*</li></ol>

Comments: LISA BULL IS AN INCREDIBLY RESOURCE FOR THIS FACILITY

D. III	education and/or experience.	•	lly to administer their pos	sition tinough
	YYY  1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			,
	Comments.			
C. Th	e faculty appear to be satisfied	with the overall institut	ion.	
	YYY  1. Outstanding			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
CATE	GORY III STUDENT POLICY	,		
A. Stu	ident counseling is adequate to	show concern for the	individual student's person	onal attainments.
	YYY		3. Satisfactory	
	Comments: I FELT THAT TO THE STUDENT NEED		NG DR. WHITMAN WER	E ACCOMADATING
	TO THE OTOBERT NEED			
B. Th	e student/administration relation	nship reflects a healthy	and stable rapport within	n the institution.
			• •	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
C. Th	e student educational needs are	e met by the institution		
	YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: THE REFERE	ENCE MATERIAL WAS	S VERY GOOD	
CATE	GORY IV ADMISSION PRACT	TICES		
A. Th	e admission policy of the institu	tion is well administere	ed and the school is reas	onably selective.
		YYY		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			

	admission requirements.			
		YYY		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: I ALSO FEEL GUIDENCE NEEDED	THAT THE STAFF IS	WILLING TO OFFER AN	IY SPECIFIC
CATI	EGORY V STUDENT RECRUIT	<u>MENT</u>		
A. TI	he institution appears to recruit fr recruiting low income families		of family income. No con	centration on
		YYY		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
B. TI	he institution appears to recruit st	tudents who have a po	tential or desire the educ	ation provided.
	VVV			
	YYY 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: I ALSO FEEL EVEN MORE EXCITED AE WHOLISTIC HEALTH			
<b>О</b> Т	h			II
C. I	he students appear to have an ho	onest impression of the	e institution before they e	nroll.
		YYY		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
CATI	EGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILIT	<u>IES</u>		
A. TI	he institution has satisfactory train	ning or educational fac	ilities with sufficient tools	s, supplies, or
	equipment to instruct in the st	udent's selected area of	of study.	
	YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: THE MASSAG	SE ROOMS FOR CLIN	IC WERE VERY WELL S	SET UP
B. TI	he classrooms or work stations a enrolled.	re the necessary size t	o accommodate the num	nber of students
		YYY		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			

B. Students who have special learning handicaps are aware of the demands needed to meet the

C. In	e premises and conditions unde modern standards.	er which the students v	vork are sanitary and sate	e according to
	YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: CHARTER CC	DLLEGE IS A VERY N	ICE FACILITY	
<u>CATE</u>	GORY VII COURSE ORGANIZ	<u>ATION</u>		
A. Th	e instruction materials are comp	rehensive, accurate a	nd well organized.	
	YYY			
	1. Outstanding	<ol><li>Superior</li></ol>	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: THE BOOKS UNFORMATION			
B. Th	e instructional material is geared of the students enrolled.	d at a level of understa	anding which adheres to t	he educational level
	YYY	2. Superior		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
	GORY VIII OBJECTIVES  e resident training is reasonably ultimately hopes to gain.	well developed to act	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or
	YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: GIVING SUCH STUDENTS ONE UP IN TH	I A BROAD SPECTRI HE FIELD	JM OF INFORMATION G	SIVES THE
B. Stu	ident records adequately reflect	the student's progres	s during his period of enr	ollment.
		YYY	- <u></u>	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	<ol><li>Unsatisfactory*</li></ol>
	Comments: .			
C. Th	e student records adequately re institution.	flect the student's plac	cement after his/her traini	ng with the
	YYY  1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	· ·	•	-	•
	Comments: ALL INFORMA	ATION WAS INCLUDE	LU IIN FULUEKO, IKANS	OCKIFIO

On-Site Evaluation Form evalform.doc

D. Characterize your impression of the	he institution.			
YYY 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
Comments: I REALLY EN FEEL RELIEVED TO SEE	IJOYED BEING ABLE T	O VIEW THIS FACILITY	•	
E. The majority of the students appe institution.	ar to be satisfied with th	e education they have re	eceived from the	
YYY 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
Comments:				
Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive.  1. No Status – If, after a review of the forms and materials submitted by the petitioning institution and the formal team evaluation, the petitioning institution is found to have such severe deficiencies that in the opinion of the Commission are deemed to not meet the minimum standards required for operation of a postsecondary proprietary school, then the				
petitioning institution should be award petitioning institution should be recon	ded "No Status," and the	applicant status of the	ii uie	
2. Candidate If, after a review of the institution and the formal team evaluated deficiencies that in the opinion of the	ation, the petitioning inst	itution is found to have o	ertain	
for denial of the right to do business, "Candidate" status.			cause	
3. Accreditation with Recommenda submitted by the petitioning institution is found to still possess certain deficie	n and the formal team e	valuation the petitioning	institution	
accreditation or candidate status, but efficiency, then the institution may be	such recommendations	are needed to increase		
4. Fully Accredited – If, after a revie evaluation the institution has correcte or Accredited with Recommendations	ed all deficiencies noted	during its Applicant, Car	ndidate, <u>YYY</u>	

If status Is 1, 2, or 3, list your specific reasons or recommendations below. Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.

# Team Member's background, as related to evaluation participation, is as follows:

Please describe appropriate background experience and credentials.

ATTENDED HOLISTICE WELLNESS ACADEMY CERTIFIED MASSAGE THERAPIST -PRIVATE PRACTICE FOR 2 YEARS

ADVANCED SPORTS NUTRITIONAL ADVISOR

CERTIFIED PERSONAL FITNESS TRAINER

-CERTIFIED WITH NUTRICUTIDE INC. SUPPLEMENTS

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation:JUNE 18,	2007		
Institution Evaluated: CHARTER	R COLLEGE OF H	EALTH AND MASSAGE T	HERAPY
Name of Team Member: FRANCES	S D'OTTAVIO		
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EVALUATOR	<u>s</u>		
In each category you are to rate the insti	tution on a scale o	f one (1) to four (4) as follo	ows:
<ol> <li>Outstanding</li> <li>Superior</li> </ol>		Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
There is space for comments. The aster your evaluation.	risk (*) denotes red	quested comments in orde	er to better explain
CATEGORY I EDUCATIONAL OBJECT	CTIVES		
A. The educational philosophies/objective	es are consistent	with the institution's role as	s a training facility.
	YYY		
1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
B. The resident training is reasonably we seeks.	YYY		
1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
C. The advertising, brochures, catalogs that it is a training institution invo			
YYY 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:	•	•	•
CATEGORY II FACULTY			
A. The institution has an adequate number and/or experience to instruct the		ructors or teachers trained	I by education
		YYY	
1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*

On-Site Evaluation Form evalform.doc

Comments: TEACHERS' EDUCATION OR EXPERIENCE IS EXCELLENT, BUT NEED MORE TEACHER'S PER STUDENT

B.	The educational administrators are qualified professionally to administer their position through education and/or experience.				
	YYY				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: ADMINISTRA STUDENT'S	TOR'S SET HIGH STA	ANDARDS FOR INSTRU	CTOR'S AND	
C.	The faculty appear to be satisfied	with the overall institut	ion.		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: INSTRUCTOR HIGHLY MOTIVATED.	R'S ENJOY WORKING	FOR THE INSTITUTION	N, AND ARE	
<u>C</u> A	ATEGORY III STUDENT POLICY				
A.	Student counseling is adequate to	show concern for the	individual student's perso	onal attainments.	
	YYY  1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: INSTRUCTOR WILL EXPLAIN THE INFO	R'S GENUINELY WAN RMATION UNTIL THE	IT THE STUDENTS TO S STUDENT UNDERSTA	SUCCEED, AND NDS.	
В.	The student/administration relation	iship reflects a healthy	and stable rapport withir	n the institution.	
		YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
C.	The student educational needs are	•			
	1. Outstanding	YYY 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: EXCELLENT ( EQUIPMENT	·	OKS, STUDY GUIDES, F	•	
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY IV ADMISSION PRACT	CICES			
A.	The admission policy of the institut	ion is well administere	ed and the school is reaso	onably selective.	
	1. Outstanding	YYY 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
		z. Superior	3. Saustactory	4. Unsatisfactory	
	Comments:				

B.	B. Students who have special learning handicaps are aware of the demands needed to meet the admission requirements.			
		YYY		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
	GG/////G/IG/			
<u>C/</u>	ATEGORY V STUDENT RECRUIT	<u>MENT</u>		
A.	The institution appears to recruit from recruiting low income families.		of family income. No con	centration on
	-			
	YYY  1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	•	•	•	•
	Comments: INSTITUTION NEED, THROUGH A LOCA		. ASSISTANCE TO ALL S	STUDENTS IN
	,			
R	The institution appears to recruit st	udents who have a no	ntential or desire the educ	eation provided
٥.	The institution appears to restait st	ducino wno nave a pe	nontial of acond the cade	ation provided.
	1. Outstanding	YYY 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	1. Outstanding	z. Superior	3. Sausiaciory	4. Unsalistaciory
	Comments:			
$\sim$	The students appear to have an ho	onest impression of the	a institution before they e	proll
О.	The students appear to have an he	mest impression of the	e institution before they e	THOIL.
	A. Outstanding	YYY	0.0-6-6-6	4 Unastisfastand
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
			F THE SCHOOL AND A	PERSONAL
	INTERVIEW BEFORE ACC	CEPTANCE		
<u>C/</u>	ATEGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILITI	<u>ES</u>		
Α.	The institution has satisfactory train equipment to instruct in the str			s, supplies, or
		YYY		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
	Comments.			
В.	The classrooms or work stations as enrolled.	re the necessary size	to accommodate the num	nber of students
		YYY		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			

	. The premises and conditions under which the students work are sanitary and safe according to modern standards.				
		YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: .				
	Commente.				
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY VII COURSE ORGANIZ	ZATION			
Α.	The instruction materials are comp	orehensive, accurate a	nd well organized.		
		YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:	·	·	·	
	Comments.				
В.	The instructional material is geare	d at a level of understa	anding which adheres to	the educational level	
	of the students enrolled.				
		YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY VIII OBJECTIVES				
Α.	The resident training is reasonably				
	ultimately hones to gain	y well developed to act	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or	
	ultimately hopes to gain.	y well developed to act	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or	
		YYY			
	1. Outstanding	·	ually train the student for 3. Satisfactory	the job he seeks or  4. Unsatisfactory*	
		YYY			
	1. Outstanding	YYY			
	1. Outstanding  Comments:	YYY 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	1. Outstanding	YYY 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	1. Outstanding  Comments:  Student records adequately reflect  YYY	YYY  2. Superior  t the student's progress	3. Satisfactory s during his period of en	4. Unsatisfactory* rollment.	
	1. Outstanding  Comments:  Student records adequately reflect	YYY 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	1. Outstanding  Comments:  Student records adequately reflect  YYY	YYY  2. Superior  t the student's progress	3. Satisfactory s during his period of en	4. Unsatisfactory* rollment.	
	1. Outstanding  Comments:  Student records adequately reflect  YYY  1. Outstanding	YYY  2. Superior  t the student's progress	3. Satisfactory s during his period of en	4. Unsatisfactory* rollment.	
В.	1. Outstanding  Comments:  Student records adequately reflect  YYY  1. Outstanding  Comments: .	YYY  2. Superior  t the student's progress  2. Superior	3. Satisfactory s during his period of end 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory* rollment. 4. Unsatisfactory*	
В.	1. Outstanding  Comments:  Student records adequately reflect  YYY  1. Outstanding	YYY  2. Superior  t the student's progress  2. Superior	3. Satisfactory s during his period of end 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory* rollment. 4. Unsatisfactory*	
В.	1. Outstanding  Comments:  Student records adequately reflect  YYY  1. Outstanding  Comments: .  The student records adequately reflecting institution.	YYY  2. Superior  t the student's progress  2. Superior	3. Satisfactory s during his period of end 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory* rollment. 4. Unsatisfactory*	
В.	1. Outstanding  Comments:  Student records adequately reflect  YYY  1. Outstanding  Comments: .  The student records adequately reflective student records adequately stu	YYY  2. Superior  t the student's progress  2. Superior	3. Satisfactory s during his period of end 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory* rollment. 4. Unsatisfactory*	

D. Characterize your	impression of the	e institution.		
	YYY utstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:	EXCELLENT L	EARNING ENVIRON	-	·
E. The majority of the institution.	e students appear	to be satisfied with the	ne education they have re	eceived from the
	YYY			
1. Ou	utstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
		ENUINELY ENJOY LI TING A WELL-ROUN	EARNING FROM THE IN IDED EDUCATION	ISTRUCTORS,
Plea	se initial the sta	tus you believe this	institution should rece	ive
1. No Status – If, after institution and the form severe deficiencies the	er a review of the f mal team evaluation at in the opinion o	forms and materials s on, the petitioning ins of the Commission ar	submitted by the petitioning titution is found to have so deemed to not meet the	ng such e
	should be awarde	ed "No Status," and th	ry proprietary school, the e applicant status of the n.	n tne
institution and the form deficiencies that in the	mal team evaluation of the C	on, the petitioning ins commission can be co	submitted by the petition titution is found to have corrected and would not be	ertain
for denial of the right to "Candidate" status.	to do business, th	en the petitioning ins	titution may be awarded	
submitted by the petit	ioning institution a	and the formal team e	w of the forms an material evaluation the petitioning	institution
accreditation or candi	date status, but s	uch recommendation	erious as to cause either s are needed to increase vith Recommendations" s	
4 Fully Approdited	If ofter a review	of the forms and mate	orials and the formel team	m
evaluation the instituti	on has corrected	all deficiencies noted	erials and the formal tear I during its Applicant, Car granted "Fully Accredited	ndidate, <u>YYY</u>
		•••	ns or recommendations	

Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.

### SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

- 1. FOCUS ON PROMOTING THE SCHOOL:
  - A. OPEN HOUSE AT LEAST QUARTERLY
  - B. INCREASE ADVERTISING TO BRING UP ENROLLMENT
- 2. INCREASE OUTSIDE INSTRUCTOR'S TO TEACH THE BASIC CLASSES. THE STUDENTS WILL HAVE ANOTHER PERSPECTIVE ON MASSAGE THAN HAVING THE SAME INSTRUCTOR'S TEACHING SEVERAL CLASSES.
- 3. OFFER DIFFERENT MODALITIES FROM OUTSIDE INSTRUCTIOR'S, MAYBE ON NIGHT'S OR WEEKEND CLASSES
  - A. PRE-NATAL, POST-NATAL
  - **B. CHAIR MASSAGE**

- C. GERIATRIC MASSAGE
- D. SPORTS MASSAGE

THIS WILL GUIDE THE STUDENT IN THE FIELD THEY MAY WANT TO PURSUE

PLEASE COMPLETE THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM

# **SUMMARY**

I hold a massage license in the State of Illinois, #227.001454, Nationally Certified in Therapeutic Massage and Bodywork, #434605-00, and completed a 770 hour program finishing with 946 hours in massage and bodywork. I am a professional, active member of the American Massage Therapy Association.

# **EMPLOYMENT HISTORY**

Self Employed (Independent Contractor), for Integrated Massage & Wellness, Culver, In Nappanee Spinal Adjusting Center, Nappanee, In

<u>Delnor Health & Wellness Center</u>, Geneva, Illinois Massage Therapist, 2005-2006

Frank Gironda/Brian Michael Salon & Day Spa, Wheaton, Illinois Massage Therapist, 2005-2006

Zano Salon & Day Spa, Naperville, Illinois Massage Therapist, 2005-2005

# **AREAS OF EXPERTISE**

Swedish massage, Deep Tissue massage, Pre-natal massage, Stretching and Joint Movement, Sports massage, Seated Massage, Geriatric massage, Reflexology, Aromatherapy, Jin Shin Do Acupressure, John Barnes Myofascial Release, Ben Benjamin Common Injuries, Ortho-Bionomy, Hot Stone massage, Parafango Body Wraps, Seaweed Body Wraps, Salt and Sugar Scrubs.

# **EDUCATION**

College of Dupage, Glen Ellyn, Illinois Wellness Massage Training Institute, Woodridge, Illinois

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation:	JUNE 18, 2007		
Institution Evaluated:	CHARTER COLLEGE OF	HEALTH AND MASSAGE	THERAPY
Name of Team Member:	FRANK SAPPER		
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EV	ALUATORS		
In each category you are to ra	ate the institution on a scale	e of one (1) to four (4) as follo	ows:
<ol> <li>Outstand</li> <li>Superior</li> </ol>	ling	<ul><li>3. Satisfactory</li><li>4. Unsatisfactory</li></ul>	
There is space for comments your evaluation.	. The asterisk (*) denotes	requested comments in order	er to better explain
CATEGORY I EDUCATION	NAL OBJECTIVES		
A. The educational philosoph	nies/objectives are consiste	ent with the institution's role a	s a training facility.
YYY			
1. Outstandin  Comments:	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments.			
B. The resident training is reaseeks.	asonably well developed to	actually train the student for	the job he/she
YYY  1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:	g 2. Superior	3. Salisiaciory	4. Ulisalistaciory
-		entations made are truthful, a cific areas of instruction it pro	
YYY 1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments: ADVE	RTISING MATERIALS AR	E VERY PROFESSIONAL L	OOKING
CATEGORY II FACULTY			
A. The institution has an ade and/or experience to		nstructors or teachers trained	d by education
	YYY		
<ol> <li>Outstandin</li> </ol>	g 2. Superior	<ol><li>Satisfactory</li></ol>	<ol><li>Unsatisfactory*</li></ol>

 $\it Comments: \,\,$  ONLY SPOKE WITH TWO INSTRUCTOR'S. WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SPOKEN TO THE OTHER 5 LISTED

B. Tr	ne educational administrato education and/or experi	ors are qualified professiona ence.	ally to administer their pos	sition through
	YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: MR. WH	ITMAN IS VERY PROFES	SIONAL AND WELL SPC	KEN
C. Th	ne faculty appear to be sati	sfied with the overall institu	tion.	
	YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: BOTH LICHARTER	ISA AND SUE SEEMED VE	ERY HAPPY TO BE ASS	OCIATED WITH
CATE	EGORY III STUDENT PO	DLICY		
A. St	udent counseling is adequa	ate to show concern for the	individual student's pers	onal attainments.
	YYY 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	Z. Superior	5. Oalisiactory	4. Offsatisfactory
	Comments.			
B. Th	ne student/administration re	elationship reflects a health	y and stable rapport withi	n the institution.
	YYY	O Cumpuian	2. Catisfantam	4 Handiafoataw.*
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: STUDEN	NTS HAD MANY POSITIVE	COMMENTS FOR THE	INSTITUTION
o =				
C. Ir	ne student educational nee	ds are met by the institution	٦.	
	YYY 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	•	Z. Superior	3. Salislaciory	4. Unsalistaciony
	Comments:			
CATE	EGORY IV ADMISSION P	RACTICES		
A. Th	ne admission policy of the i	nstitution is well administer	ed and the school is reas	onably selective.
	YYY			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
		NAL INTERVIEWS ARE US A VERY DETAILED INTE		S PROCESS,

B.	Students who have special learning handicaps are aware of the demands needed to meet the admission requirements.					
	YYY					
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments:					
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY V STUDENT RECRUIT	MENT				
A.	The institution appears to recruit from a diversified level of family income. No concentration on recruiting low income families.					
	YYY					
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments:					
В.	The institution appears to recruit students who have a potential or desire the education provided.					
	YYY					
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
			INTERVIEW PROCESS	QUESTIONS THE		
	STUDENTS' INTENT ON	BECOMING A MASSA	AGE THERAPIST			
_	The students appear to have an h	onest impression of the	e institution before they e	nroll		
О.	• •	onest impression of the	e institution before they e	THOIL.		
	YYY  1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
		Z. Gupenoi	o. Catiolactory	4. Official station y		
	Comments:					
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILIT	<u>TES</u>				
A.	The institution has satisfactory training and to instruct in the			s, supplies, or		
	equipment to instruct in the s	ludent's selected area	or study.			
	YYY  1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	_	Y IS CLEAN AND WEI	•	4. Offsatisfactory		
	Comments: THE FACILIT	Y IS CLEAN AND WEI	L ORGANIZED			
B.	The classrooms or work stations a enrolled.	are the necessary size	to accommodate the num	nber of students		
	YYY					
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments: THERE IS PL	ENTY OF ROOM FOR	THE SMALL STRUCTU	RED CLASSES.		

C.	. The premises and conditions under which the students work are sanitary and safe according to modern standards.							
	YYY							
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*				
	Comments: .							
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY VII COURSE ORGANIZ	ATION						
A.	The instruction materials are comprehensive, accurate and well organized.							
	YYY							
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*				
	Comments:							
В.	The instructional material is geared of the students enrolled.	d at a level of understa	anding which adheres to	the educational level				
	YYY							
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	<ol><li>Unsatisfactory*</li></ol>				
	Comments: TEXTBOOKS	ARE UP-TO-DATE						
C.A	ATEGORY VIII OBJECTIVES							
	The resident training is reasonably ultimately hopes to gain.	well developed to act	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or				
	VVV							
	YYY  1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*				
	Comments:	,	,	,				
	Comments.							
_								
В.	Student records adequately reflect	the student's progres	s during his period of eni	ollment.				
	YYY	2. Superior	0.0-6-66	4.11				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*				
	Comments: .							
C	The student records adequately re	flect the student's plac	cement after his/her train	ing with the				
<b>J</b> .	institution.	noot the stadent a plat	Joine III and The Hall	mig with the				
	YYY							
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*				
	Comments:							

D. Characterize your impression of the institution.									
	YYY								
	1. Outstar	nding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsa	atisfactory*			
Comments: THE ADMINISTRATOR, FACULTY, AND STUDENTS ALL HAVE A POSIT OUTLOOK TOWARD THIS SCHOOL									
E. The	E. The majority of the students appear to be satisfied with the education they have received from the institution.								
	YYY								
	1. Outstar	nding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsa	atisfactory*			
	Comments: ST THIS SCHOOL		VERY MOTIVATE	ED AND HAPPY THEY A	RE ENROL	LED IN			
				institution should rec					
1. No Status – If, after a review of the forms and materials submitted by the petitioning institution and the formal team evaluation, the petitioning institution is found to have such severe deficiencies that in the opinion of the Commission are deemed to not meet the									
minimum standards required for operation of a postsecondary proprietary school, then the petitioning institution should be awarded "No Status," and the applicant status of the petitioning institution should be recommended for revocation.									
2. Candidate If, after a review of the forms and materials submitted by the petitioning institution and the formal team evaluation, the petitioning institution is found to have certain deficiencies that in the opinion of the Commission can be corrected and would not be cause									
for denial of the right to do business, then the petitioning institution may be awarded "Candidate" status.									
3. Accreditation with Recommendations – If, after a review of the forms an materials submitted by the petitioning institution and the formal team evaluation the petitioning institution									
is found to still possess certain deficiencies that are not so serious as to cause either denial of accreditation or candidate status, but such recommendations are needed to increase efficiency, then the institution may be awarded "Accredited with Recommendations" status.									
				terials and the formal tea		YYY			
evaluation the institution has corrected all deficiencies noted during its Applicant, Candidate, or Accredited with Recommendations status, then it shall be granted "Fully Accredited" status.									

If status Is 1, 2, or 3, list your specific reasons or recommendations below. Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.

# **Professional Objective:**

To seek a position that offers the opportunity to expand in the field of education and/or administration. To administer, coordinate or instruct the latest curriculums as it relates to my field of expertise at the corporate, university or secondary school settings.

### Military Record:

Corporal: United States Marine Corps Honorable Discharge: June 18, 1978

#### **Education:**

(Pre - Principal License Program)

INDIANA WESLYAN; Fort Wayne, IN March 2004 - December 2004

Associate of Applied Science – Medical Assisting IVY TECH STATE COLLEGE – FORT WAYNE

August 1997 - May 2000

Indiana State Teaching Endorsement in Computer Education

INDIANA UNIVERSITY AT SOUTH BEND

September 1996 - December 1997

Masters of Science: Physical Education/Computer Applications NOVA SOUTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY, Ft Lauderdale, FL.

January 1987 - December 1988

Bachelors of Science: Physical Education/Health BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, Bowling Green, OH September 1978 - May 1983

## **Professional Experience:**

North Side High School, Fort Wayne IN, August 2000 - Present

- Faculty teaching Introductory and Advanced Computer Applications; Web Design, Desktop Publishing and Programming;
- Building Technology Coordinator;
- Fort Wayne Education Association Building Representative.

Indiana-Purdue Fort Wayne, fall 2000 - fall 2005

Adjunct faculty - CS106 "Introduction to Computers"- teaching online and in the classroom

Indiana University South Bend, summer 1998

Columbia Middle School, Logansport IN, August 1996 – May 2000

Ivy Tech State College, Warsaw IN. April 1995 - June 1996

Warsaw Community Schools, Warsaw IN. October 1989 - June 1995

Columbia County Schools, Lake City, FL. April 1985 - September 1989

Ayersville Local Schools, Ayersville, OH. September 1983 - April 1985

#### **Professional Activities:**

1998 - Present - Owner - Basic Kneads & Body Works - Therapeutic massage and body works for individuals wishing to enhance the quality of life.

1996 - Present - Owner - AFS Consultants, an independent consulting firm facilitating individuals and/or corporations in setting up hardware, recommending software, or answering questions related to e-Mail & the Internet.